

AGENDA BILL APPROVAL FORM

Agenda Subject: Resolution No. 4585		Date: April 13, 2010
Department: Planning and Development	Attachments: Resolution No. 4585	Budget Impact: N/A

Administrative Recommendation:
City Council adopt Resolution No. 4585.

Background Summary:

Beginning in December 2008 through summer 2009, City staff attended the King County Growth Targets Committee meetings to discuss the 10-year population (housing units) and employment (jobs) targets allocation process. Planning and Community Development Committee (PCDC) were briefed several times during this process (March 23, 2009; May 11, 2009; June 8, 2009; July 27, 2009; and August 10, 2009)

While the King County Growth Targets Committee was meeting, regular briefings were provided to the King County Planning Council (April 15, 2009, July 15, 2009, and September 16, 2009). The King County Planning Council (GMPC) is the committee that recommends approval of amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies. The GMPC took action, recommending approval of the amendments at their October 28, 2009 meeting. Auburn has been allocated a housing unit target of 9,620 and an employment target of 19,350 jobs. As presented to the PCDC, the City has sufficient capacity through existing zoning to accommodate this growth. The proposed amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies were then forwarded to the Metropolitan King County Council for action on behalf of unincorporated King County. The County Council approved and ratified the amendments on January 25, 2010 and became effective on February 14, 2010.

Amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies become effective when ratified by ordinance or resolution by at least 30 percent of the city and county governments representing 70 percent of the population of King County. A city is deemed to have ratified the amendments unless, within 90 days of adoption by King County, the city takes legislative action to disapprove the amendments. The Planning and Community Development Committee reviewed the resolution at their April 12, 2010 meeting.

A3.4.1

Reviewed by Council & Committees: <input type="checkbox"/> Arts Commission <input type="checkbox"/> Airport <input type="checkbox"/> Hearing Examiner <input type="checkbox"/> Human Services <input type="checkbox"/> Park Board <input type="checkbox"/> Planning Comm. COUNCIL COMMITTEES: <input type="checkbox"/> Finance <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Serv. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning & CD <input type="checkbox"/> Public Works <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	Reviewed by Departments & Divisions: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Building <input type="checkbox"/> Cemetery <input type="checkbox"/> Finance <input type="checkbox"/> Fire <input type="checkbox"/> Legal <input type="checkbox"/> Public Works <input type="checkbox"/> Information Services </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> M&O <input type="checkbox"/> Mayor <input type="checkbox"/> Parks <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning <input type="checkbox"/> Police <input type="checkbox"/> Human Resources </div> </div>
--	--

Action:

Committee Approval: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 Council Approval: ☐ Yes ☐ No Call for Public Hearing ____/____/____
 Referred to _____ Until ____/____/____
 Tabled _____ Until ____/____/____

Councilmember: Norman	Staff: Snyder
Meeting Date: April 19, 2010	Item Number: VIII.B.1

RESOLUTION NO. 4 5 8 5

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF AUBURN,
WASHINGTON, RATIFYING THE AMENDMENTS TO
THE KING COUNTY COUNTYWIDE PLANNING
POLICIES**

WHEREAS, on July 1, 1990, the Growth Management Act (the GMA) became effective (Chapter 36.70A Revised Code of Washington); and

WHEREAS, under federal and state law, the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) has specific responsibilities for transportation and growth management planning; and

WHEREAS, the Washington State Office of Financial Management forecasts population of counties and issues those forecasts every five years; and

WHEREAS, counties and cities collaborate to allocate that forecasted population every ten years; and

WHEREAS, PSRC adopted VISION 2040 as the growth management, environmental, economic, and transportation vision for the central Puget Sound region; and

WHEREAS, VISION 2040 provides a common framework for the region's Metropolitan Transportation Plan and Regional Economic Strategy, as well as countywide planning policies and local comprehensive plans; and

WHEREAS, VISION 2040 established regional geographies for accommodating the regions growth over the next thirty years and the City of Auburn is designated a Core City; and

WHEREAS, the Core City geography is anticipated to accommodate thirty-two percent of the Puget Sound region's growth; and

WHEREAS, the City participated in the amendment process and helped develop the proposed amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies through participation in regional meetings through Suburban Cities Association; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies were reviewed and recommended approval by the Growth Management Planning Council; and

WHEREAS, amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies must be ratified by ordinance or resolution by at least 30 percent of the city and county governments representing 70 percent of the population of King County; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan King County Council approved and ratified the amendments on behalf of unincorporated King County and became effective on February 14, 2010.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

Section 1. The amendments to the King County 2012 – Countywide Planning Policies as shown by Exhibit A to this resolution are hereby ratified by the City of Auburn.

Section 2. A certified copy of this Resolution shall be forwarded to the Clerk of the Metropolitan King County Council.

Section 3. That the Mayor is authorized to implement such administrative procedures as may be necessary to carry out the directives of this legislation.

Section 4. That this Resolution shall take effect and be in full force upon passage and signatures hereon.

Dated and signed this _____ day of _____, 2010.

CITY OF AUBURN

PETER B. LEWIS
MAYOR

ATTEST:

Danielle E. Daskam, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



Daniel B. Heid, City Attorney

Web: www.kingcounty.gov/council/clerk

If you adopt any legislation relative to this action, please send a copy of the legislation by the close of business, Friday, May 14, 2010, to Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council, W1039 King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104, anne.noris@kingcounty.gov.

If you have any questions about the amendments or ratification process, please contact Paul Reitenbach, Senior Policy Analyst, King County Department of Development and Environmental Services, at 206-296-6705, or Rick Bautista, Metropolitan King County Council Staff, at 206-296-0329.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Bob Ferguson Dow Constantine

Bob Ferguson, Chair
Metropolitan King County Council

Dow Constantine
King County Executive

Enclosures

cc: King County City Planning Directors
Suburban Cities Association
Paul Reitenbach, Senior Policy Analyst, DDES
Rick Bautista, Council Staff, Environment and Transportation Committee
(ETC)

November 19, 2009

The Honorable Dow Constantine
Chair, King County Council
Room 1200
C O U R T H O U S E

Dear Councilmember Constantine:

I am pleased to submit two motions that have been approved by the Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC). Under the interlocal agreement that established the GMPC, motions are first approved by GMPC. King County Council must then approve the motions and ratify it for the unincorporated area. Finally, the motions are sent to all of the cities in King County for ratification. There are no fiscal impacts to King County government as a result of these motions.

The attached two motions are the result of regional cooperation. Each received unanimous approval by the Growth Management Planning Council; however the City of Seattle representatives abstained from voting on Motion 09-2. The first of these Motions, GMPC Motion 09-1, adopts a work plan and schedule to address the policy framework for allocation of regional services and facilities. The second Motion, GMPC Motion 09-2, amends the Countywide Planning Policies updating existing policies to provide for housing and employment targets for the period 2006-2031. This motion also amends Table LU-1 of the Countywide Planning Policies by replacing the existing Household and Employment Growth Targets for the 2001-2022 period with new Housing and Employment Growth Targets for the 2006-2031 period.

The Honorable Dow Constantine
November 19, 2009
Page 2

For further information regarding this transmittal, please contact Stephanie Warden, Director, Department of Development and Environmental Services, at 206-296-6700 or by email at Stephanie.warden@kingcounty.gov.

Sincerely,

Kurt Triplett
King County Executive

Enclosures

cc: King County Councilmembers
 ATTN: Tom Bristow, Interim Chief of Staff
 Anne Norjs, Clerk of the Council
 Frank Abe, Communications Director
 Beth Goldberg, Deputy Director, Office of Management and Budget
 Stephanie Warden, Director, Department of Development and Environmental
 Services (DDES)
 Paul Reitenbach, Comprehensive Plan Project Manager, DDES



KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

January 25, 2010

Ordinance 16747

Proposed No. 2009-0641.1

Sponsors Hague and Phillips

1 AN ORDINANCE adopting amendments to the
2 Countywide Planning Policies; adopting a work plan and
3 schedule to address policies related to allocation of regional
4 services, and adopting new housing and employment
5 growth targets, and ratifying the amended Countywide
6 Planning Policies for unincorporated King County; and
7 amending Ordinance 10450, Section 3, as amended, and
8 K.C.C. 20.10.030 and Ordinance 10450, Section 4, as
9 amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.040.

10 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

11 SECTION I. Findings:

12 A. The metropolitan King County council adopted and ratified the Growth
13 Management Planning Council recommended King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
14 Policies (Phase I) in July 1992, under Ordinance 10450.

15 B. The metropolitan King County council adopted and ratified the Phase II
16 amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies on August 15, 1994, under Ordinance
17 11446.

18 C. The Growth Management Planning Council met on October 28, 2009 and
19 voted to recommend amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies,

20 adopting Motion 09-1 approving a work plan and schedule to address the policy
21 framework for allocation of regional services and facilities as shown in Attachment A to
22 this ordinance and adopting Motion 09-2 amending Table LU-1 of the Countywide
23 Planning Policies and approving related policy amendments as shown on Attachment B
24 to this ordinance.

25 SECTION 2. Ordinance 10450, Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.030 are
26 each hereby amended to read as follows:

27 A. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 Countywide Planning
28 Policies attached to Ordinance 11446 are hereby approved and adopted.

29 B. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
30 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12027.

31 C. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
32 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12421.

33 D. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
34 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 13260.

35 E. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
36 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 through 4 to Ordinance 13415.

37 F. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
38 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 13858.

39 G. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
40 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14390.

41 H. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
42 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14391.

43 I. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
44 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14392.

45 J. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
46 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14652.

47 K. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
48 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 14653.

49 L. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
50 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14654.

51 M. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
52 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14655.

53 N. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
54 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 14656.

55 O. The Phase II amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
56 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 14844.

57 P. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
58 Policies are amended as shown by Attachments A, B and C to Ordinance 15121..

59 Q. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
60 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 15122.

61 R. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
62 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 15123.

63 S. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
64 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments A and B to Ordinance 15426.

65 T. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning

66 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments A, B, and C to Ordinance 15709.

67 U. Phase II Amendments to the King County 20.12 - Countywide Planning

68 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16056.

69 V. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning

70 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments A, B, C, D, E, F and G to Ordinance

71 16151.

72 W. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning

73 Policies are amended as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16334, and those items

74 numbered 1 through 11, 13 and 15 as shown on Attachment B to Ordinance 16334, are

75 hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County. Those items

76 numbered 12 and 14, shown as struck-through on Attachment B to Ordinance 16334, are

77 not ratified.

78 X. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning

79 Policies are amended as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16335.

80 Y. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning

81 Policies are amended as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16336.

82 Z. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning

83 Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments A and B to this ordinance.

84 SECTION 3. Ordinance 10450, Section 4, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.040 are

85 each hereby amended to read as follows:

86 A. Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance 10450 for the purposes

87 specified are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.

88 B. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance
89 10840 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.

90 C. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance
91 11061 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.

92 D. The Phase II amendments to the King County 2012 Countywide Planning
93 Policies adopted by Ordinance 11446 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of
94 unincorporated King County.

95 E. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
96 shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12027 are hereby ratified on behalf of the
97 population of unincorporated King County.

98 F. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
99 shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12421, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
100 population of unincorporated King County.

101 G. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
102 shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 13260, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
103 population of unincorporated King County.

104 H. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
105 shown by Attachment 1 through 4 to Ordinance 13415, are hereby ratified on behalf of
106 the population of unincorporated King County.

107 I. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
108 shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 13858, are hereby ratified on behalf of
109 the population of unincorporated King County.

110 J. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
111 shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14390, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
112 population of unincorporated King County.

113 K. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
114 shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14391, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
115 population of unincorporated King County.

116 L. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
117 shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14392, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
118 population of unincorporated King County.

119 M. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
120 shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14652, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
121 population of unincorporated King County.

122 N. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
123 shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 14653, are hereby ratified on behalf of
124 the population of unincorporated King County.

125 O. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
126 shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14654, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
127 population of unincorporated King County.

128 P. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
129 shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14655, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
130 population of unincorporated King County.

131 Q. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
132 shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 14656, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
133 population of unincorporated King County.

134 R. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
135 shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 14844, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
136 population of unincorporated King County.

137 S. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
138 shown by Attachments A, B and C to Ordinance 15121, are hereby ratified on behalf of
139 the population of unincorporated King County.

140 T. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
141 shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 15122, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
142 population of unincorporated King County.

143 U. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
144 shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 15123, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
145 population of unincorporated King County.

146 V. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
147 shown by Attachments A and B to Ordinance 15426, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
148 population of unincorporated King County.

149 W. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies,
150 as shown by Attachments A, B, and C to Ordinance 15709, are hereby ratified on behalf
151 of the population of unincorporated King County.

152 X. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
153 shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16056 are hereby ratified on behalf of the
154 population of unincorporated King County.

155 Y. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
156 shown by Attachments A, B, C, D, E, F and G to Ordinance 16151, are hereby ratified on
157 behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.

158 Z. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
159 shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16334, and those items numbered 1 through 11, 13
160 and 15, as shown in Attachment B to Ordinance 16334, are hereby ratified on behalf of
161 the population of unincorporated King County. Those items numbered 12 and 14, shown
162 as struck-through on Attachment B to Ordinance 16334, are not ratified.

163 AA. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies,
164 as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16335 are hereby ratified on behalf of the
165 population of unincorporated King County.


166 BB. The amendment to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies,
167 as shown by Attachment A of Ordinance 16336, is hereby ratified on behalf of the
168 population of unincorporated King County. Additionally, by Ordinance 16336, an
169 amendment to the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map to include any additional
170 unincorporated urban land created by the Urban Growth Area (UGA) amendment in the
171 Potential Annexation Area of the city of Black Diamond is hereby ratified on behalf of
172 the population of unincorporated King County.

173 CC. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies,
174 as shown by Attachments A and B to this ordinance are hereby ratified on behalf of the
175 population of unincorporated King County.


Ordinance 16747 was introduced on 11/23/2009 and passed by the Metropolitan King
County Council on 1/25/2010, by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Ms. Drago, Mr. Phillips, Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Hague,
Ms. Patterson, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Ferguson and Mr. Dunn
No: 0
Excused: 1 - Mr. Gossett

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON



Robert W. Ferguson, Chair

ATTEST:



Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 4th day of February, 2010


Dow Constantine, County Executive

Attachments: A. Motion 09-1, B. Motion 09-2

16747

2009-0641 Attachment A

10/28/09

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

/lh/pr

MOTION NO. 09-1

A MOTION to adopt a work plan and schedule to address the policy framework for allocation of regional services and facilities.

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Planning Council has directed staff to develop recommendations for a major update of the Countywide Planning Policies in 2010 to bring those policies into consistency with Vision 2040.

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Planning Council has developed proposed growth targets for housing and employment, that implement the Regional Growth Strategy contained in Vision 2040 while providing 20-year targets for cities to use in the next round of GMA comprehensive plan updates.

WHEREAS, since the Countywide Planning Policies were first adopted in 1992, significant amounts of growth have occurred inside the urban growth area, within cities and unincorporated King County, and particularly inside cities with designated urban centers, where pending growth targets would direct even greater amounts of growth.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

Direct staff to pursue a work plan for phase I of an update of the Countywide Planning Policies.

Goal of Work Program:

The GMPC will update the Countywide Planning Policies to ensure consistency with the Multi-County Planning Policies contained within Vision 2040, to ensure consistency with the State Growth Management Act, to reflect current terminology and relevant references, and to establish the policy framework for advancing the Regional Growth Strategy through prioritized allocation of regional services and facilities. Phase One of the work plan is focused on establishing one or more Framework policies that will advance the Regional Growth Strategy through prioritized allocation of regional services and facilities; while continuing to serve all communities in King County

Context:

With the adoption of the new growth targets, King County is facing an increased amount of growth countywide and a pattern of growth that concentrates housing and employment in cities with Urban Centers. Communities throughout the county recognize that their ability to accommodate growth depends on adequate provision of services and facilities. Further, a number of cities are concerned that the existing provision of services and facilities are inadequate to meet current needs. The first priority in developing the work program will be to define the regional services and facilities that are necessary to support growth.

Direct staff to develop options for new CPP policy language that will prioritize regional service delivery in ways that promote the regional growth strategy. In developing that policy, staff should address these issues according to the schedule shown below:

- Define what constitutes the "regional services" affected by this policy;
- Identify the existing policy basis for delivering regional services;
- Draft new policy options that address regional service delivery for GMPC consideration by the end of the first quarter of 2010.

Schedule for further work on proposed policy options:

Task	Due Date
Define regional services and facilities that are tied to growth	4 th Qtr, 2009
Identify existing policy basis for future delivery of services and facilities	4 th Qtr, 2009
Draft policy options for guidance on delivering regional services and facilities	March 1, 2010.
Present policy options to GMPC for first reading and discussion	End of 1 st Qtr, 2010
Revise policy options as directed by GMPC	Mid-April, 2010
Approval of policy amendment(s) and direction of next steps by GMPC	Late April, 2010

Adopted by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on October 28, 2009 in open session, and signed by the chair of the GMPC.


Kurt Triplett, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

10/28/09

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

/pr

MOTION NO. 09-2

A MOTION by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County recommending the amendment of the Countywide Planning Policies updating existing policies to provide for housing and employment targets for the period 2006-2031. This motion also amends Table LU-1 of the Countywide Planning Policies by replacing the existing Household and Employment Growth Targets for the 2001-2022 period with new Housing and Employment Growth Targets for the 2006-2031 period.

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Growth Management Act (GMA), the 2002 Countywide Planning Policies established household and employment targets for each city and for King County through 2022; and

WHEREAS, the 2002 targets need to be updated to reflect projected growth through 2031 in accordance with the GMA (RCW 36 70A 110); and

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policy FW-3 states that the adopted household and employment targets shall be monitored by King County annually with adjustments made by the Growth Management Planning Council utilizing the process established in FW-1, Step 6; and

WHEREAS since June, 2008 staff from King County and the cities in King County have worked cooperatively to analyze and recommend new 20-year housing and employment targets; and

WHEREAS the Growth Management Planning Council met and discussed the updates of the housing and employment growth targets for the period 2006-2031, with opportunity for public comment on April 15, 2009, July 15, 2009 and September 16, 2009.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES TO AMEND THE POLICIES, TEXT, AND TABLE LU-1 OF THE COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES AS FOLLOWS:

C. Urban Areas

The following policies establish an Urban Growth Area (UGA), determine the amount of household housing and employment growth to be accommodated within the UGA in the form of targets for each jurisdiction, and identify methods to phase development within this area in order to bring certainty to long-term planning and development within the County. All cities are included in the UGA, with the cities in the Rural Area identified as islands of urban growth. The UGA is a permanent designation. Land outside the UGA is designated for permanent rural and resource uses. Countywide Policies on Rural and Resource Areas are found in Chapter IIIA, Resource Lands, and Chapter IIIB, Rural Areas.

In accordance with the State Growth Management Act (GMA) (RCW 36.70A.110), the State Office of Financial Management (OFM) provides a population projection to each county. The county, through a collaborative intergovernmental process established by the Growth Management Planning Council, allocates the population as growth targets to individual jurisdictions. Forecasts prepared by the Puget Sound Regional Council are used to establish the county employment projection.

The process for allocating growth targets in King County is a collaborative exercise involving input from the county and cities. The allocations determined through this process are to be guided by existing relevant policies at the regional, countywide, and local levels and are to take into account best available data on factors influencing future growth in the region, as follows:

- 1. The PSRC employment forecasts are calculated for the four geographic subareas of the UGA (Sea-Shore, South, East, and Rural Cities). These then become subarea employment targets.*
- 2. The jurisdictions collectively allocate the OFM population projection to the four subareas based on the projected employment for each area. A small amount of population growth is assumed to occur in the Rural area.*
- 3. The technical staff translates the population projections into projected households, taking into account different average household sizes within each subarea. These projections then become subarea household targets.*
- 4. Jurisdictions within each subarea negotiate the distribution of subarea household and employment targets using criteria based on Countywide Planning Policies.*

The housing and employment capacity in the UGA, based on adopted plans and regulations, should accommodate the projected 20-year growth. Growth is to be accommodated within permanent Urban Areas by increasing densities, as needed. Phasing should occur within the UGA, as necessary, to ensure that services are provided as growth occurs.

FW-11 The land use pattern for King County shall protect the natural environment by reducing the consumption of land and concentrating development. An Urban Growth Area, Rural Areas, and resource lands shall be designated and the necessary implementing regulations adopted. This includes Countywide establishment of a boundary for the Urban Growth Area. Local jurisdictions shall make land use decisions based on the Countywide Planning Policies.

FW-12 The Urban Growth Area shall provide enough land to accommodate future urban development. Policies to phase the provision of urban services and to ensure efficient use of the growth capacity within the Urban Growth Area shall be instituted.

FW-12(a) All jurisdictions within King County share the responsibility to accommodate the 20-year population projection and job employment forecast. ~~The population projection shall be assigned to the four subareas of King County (Sea Shore, East, South and the Rural Cities) proportionate with the share of projected employment growth.~~ Anticipated growth shall be allocated pursuant to the following objectives:

- a. To plan for a pattern of growth that is guided by the Regional Growth Strategy contained in Vision 2040, the growth management, transportation, and economic development plan for the 4-county central Puget Sound region;
- b. To ensure efficient use of land within the UGA by directing growth to Urban Centers and Activity Centers;
- c. To limit development in the Rural Areas;
- d. To protect designated resource lands;
- e. To ensure efficient use of infrastructure;
- f. To improve the jobs/housing balance within the county on a subarea basis;
- g. To promote a land use pattern that can be served by public transportation and other alternatives to the single occupancy vehicle; and
- h. To provide sufficient opportunities for growth within the jurisdictions.

FW-12(b) The growth targets established pursuant to the methodology described in LU-25c and LU-25d shall be supported by both regional and local transportation investments. The availability of an adequate transportation system is critically important to accommodating growth. The regional responsibility shall be met by planning for and delivering county, state, and federal investments that support the growth targets and the land use pattern of the County. This includes investments in transit, state highways in key regional transportation corridors, and in improved access to the designated Urban Centers. The local responsibility shall be met by local transportation system investments that support the achievement of the targets.

FW-12(c) Ensuring sufficient water supply is essential to accommodate growth and conserve fish habitat. Due to the substantial lead-time required to develop water supply sources, infrastructure and management strategies, long-term water supply planning efforts in the Region must be ongoing.

1. Urban Growth Area

The Growth Management Act requires King County to designate an Urban Growth Area in consultation with cities. The Countywide Planning Policies must establish an Urban Growth Area that contains enough urban land to accommodate at least 20 years of new population and employment growth. The Growth Management Act states: "based upon the population forecast made for the County by the Office of Financial Management, the Urban Growth Areas in the County shall include areas and densities sufficient to permit urban growth that is projected to occur in the County for the succeeding 20-year period. Each Urban Growth Area shall permit urban densities and shall include greenbelt and open space areas." An Urban Growth Area map is attached as Appendix 1, which guides the adoption of the 1994 Metropolitan King County Comprehensive Plan.

LU - 25a Each jurisdiction shall plan for and accommodate the household housing and employment targets established pursuant to LU-25c and LU-25d. This obligation includes:

- a. Ensuring adequate zoning capacity; and
- b. Planning for and delivering water, sewer, transportation and other infrastructure, in concert with federal and state investments and recognizing where applicable special purpose districts; and
- c. Accommodating increases in household housing and employment targets as annexations occur.

The targets will be used to plan for and to accommodate growth within each jurisdiction. The targets do not obligate a jurisdiction to guarantee that a given number of housing units will be built or jobs added during the planning period.

LU-25b Growth targets for each Potential Annexation Area shall be set as a proportional share of the overall Urban Unincorporated Area target commensurate with the PAA's share of total Unincorporated Urban Area housing and employment capacity determined in the most recent Buildable Lands Report. As annexations or incorporations occur, growth targets shall be adjusted. Household Housing and employment targets for each jurisdiction's potential annexation area, as adopted in Table LU-1, shall be transferred to the annexing jurisdiction or newly incorporated city as follows:

- a. King County and the respective city will determine new housing household and employment targets for areas under consideration for annexation prior to the submittal of the annexation proposal to the King County Boundary Review Board;
- b. A city's household housing and employment targets shall be increased by a share of the target for the potential annexation area proportionate to the share of the potential annexation area's development capacity located within the area annexed. In the case of incorporation, an equivalent formula shall be used to establish household housing and employment targets for the new city.

Each city will determine how and where within their corporate boundaries to accommodate target increases;

- c. The County's unincorporated Urban areas targets shall be correspondingly decreased to ensure that overall target levels in the county remain the same;
- d. The household housing and employment targets in Table LU-1 will be updated periodically to reflect changes due to annexations or incorporations. These target updates do not require adoption by the Growth Management Planning Council.

LU -25c The target objectives identified in FW-12a shall be realized through the following methodology for allocating housing household targets:

- a. Determine the additional population that must be accommodated countywide by ~~calculating the difference between the most recent Census count and the State Office of Financial Management population projection for the end of~~ during the twenty year planning period based on the range of population projections made by the State Office of Financial Management for the county and 4-county central Puget Sound region and guided by the Regional Growth Strategy contained in Vision 2040;
- b. ~~Subtract a percentage from that number to represent the amount of growth that is assumed to occur in the unincorporated Rural Area;~~
- b. Assign proportions of the urban countywide population growth to each of six Regional Geographies as defined by Vision 2040 to include Metropolitan Cities, Core Cities, Larger Cities, Small Cities, Unincorporated Urban Growth Areas, and Rural and Natural Resource Lands ~~the four subareas (Sea Shore, South, East, and Rural Cities) based on the proportion of future employment growth forecasted for each of these subareas by the Puget Sound Regional Council;~~
- c. Allocate population growth to each Regional Geography as guided by Vision 2040 and also taking into account additional factors;
- d. Assume that a small amount of population growth, approximately 3% to 4% of the countywide total, will occur in the Rural area;
- e. Convert the estimated projected population for each subarea Urban Regional Geography to an estimated number of households housing units needed, using projected average household sizes, group quarters population, and vacancy rates ~~that reflect the variation among those subareas observed in the most recent Census;~~
- f. Allocate a household housing target to individual jurisdictions, within each subarea Regional Geography, based on FW-12a and considering the following factors:
 - 1. the availability of water and the capacity of the sewer system;
 - 2. the remaining portions of previously adopted household targets;
 - 3. the presence of urban centers and activity areas within each jurisdiction;
 - 4. the availability of zoned development capacity in each jurisdiction; and
 - 5. the apparent market trends for housing in the area.
- f. Jurisdictions shall plan for housing household targets as adopted in Table LU-1; and

- g. Monitoring should follow the process described in policy FW-1.

A portion of the urban employment growth will occur in Activity Areas and neighborhoods in the Urban Area. This employment growth will support the Urban Centers, while balancing local employment opportunities in the Urban Area

LU - 25d The target objectives identified in FW-12a shall be realized through the following methodology for allocating employment targets:

- a. Determine the number of jobs that must be accommodated in each of the four subareas of King County (Sea Shore, South, East, and the Rural Cities) in accordance with the most recent PSRC job estimates and forecasts for during the 20-year planning period based on the most recent forecast of employment growth produced by the Puget Sound Regional Council for the four-county central Puget Sound region, and guided by the Regional Growth Strategy contained in Vision 2040. To account for uncertainty in the employment forecasts, establish a range of new jobs that must be accommodated in each subarea. Unless exceptional circumstances dictate, the range should be 5% on either side of the PSRC forecast.
- b. Assign proportions of the countywide employment growth to each of six Regional Geographies as defined by Vision 2040 to include Metropolitan Cities, Core Cities, Larger Cities, Small Cities, Unincorporated Urban Growth Areas, and Rural and Natural Resource Lands;
- c. Allocate employment growth to each Regional Geography as guided by Vision 2040 and also taking into account additional factors;
- d. Assume that a small amount of employment growth, less than 1% of the countywide total, will occur in the Rural area;
- e. Allocate an employment target to individual jurisdictions, within each Urban Regional Geography, based on FW-12a and considering the following factors:
- b. ~~For each subarea, determine the point within the range upon which jurisdictions within the subarea will base their targets and allocate employment growth targets to individual jurisdictions based on consideration of the following:~~
 1. the PSRC small area forecasts;
 2. the presence of urban centers, manufacturing/industrial centers, and activity areas within each jurisdiction;
 3. the availability of zoned commercial and industrial development capacity in each jurisdiction and;
 4. the access to transit, as well as to existing highways and arterials.
- c. Jurisdictions shall plan for employment targets as adopted in Table LU-1.

Table U-1: Housing and Employment Growth Targets (2006-2032)				
Regional Geography City / Subarea	Housing Target	PAA Housing Target	Employment Target	PAA Employment Target
	Net New Units	Net New Units	Net New Jobs	Net New Jobs
Metropolitan Cities				
Bellevue	17,000	290	53,000	
Seattle	86,000		146,700	
Subtotal	103,000		199,700	
Core Cities				
Auburn	9,620		19,350	
Bothell	3,000	810	4,800	200
Burien	3,900		4,600	
Federal Way	8,100	2,390	12,300	290
Kent	7,800	1,560	13,200	290
Kirkland	7,200	1,370	20,200	650
Redmond	10,200	640	23,000	
Renton	14,835	3,895	29,000	470
SeaTac	5,800		25,300	
Tukwila	4,800	50	15,500	2,050
Subtotal	75,255		167,250	
Larger Cities				
Des Moines	3,000		5,000	
Issaquah	5,750	290	20,000	
Kenmore	3,500		3,000	
Maple Valley**	1,800	1,060	2,000	
Mercer Island	2,000		1,000	
Sammamish	4,000	350	1,800	
Shoreline	5,000		5,000	
Woodinville	3,000		5,000	
Subtotal	28,050		42,800	
Small Cities				
Algona	190		210	
Beaux Arts	3		3	
Black Diamond	1,900		1,050	
Carnation	330		370	
Clyde Hill	10			
Covington	1,470		1,320	
Ouvall	1,140		840	
Enumclaw	1,425		735	
Hunts Point	1			
Lake Forest Park	475		210	
Medina	19			
Milton	50	90	160	
Newcastle	1,200		735	
Normandy Park	120		65	
North Bend	665		1,050	
Pacific	285	135	370	
Skykomish	10			
Snoqualmie	1,615		1,050	
Yarrow Point	14			
Subtotal	10,922		8,168	
Urban Unincorporated				
Potential Annexation Areas	12,930		3,950	
North Highline	1,360		2,530	
Bear Creek LPD	910		3,580	
Undeveloped Urban Unincorporated	650		90	
Subtotal	15,850		10,150	
King County UGA Total	233,077		428,068	
* Targets base year is 2006. PAA / city targets have been adjusted to reflect annexations through 2008.				
** Target for Maple Valley PAA contingent on approval of city - county joint plan for Summit Place.				

271 ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on October 28,
272 2009 in open session, and signed by the chair of the GMPC.

273

274

275

276

277


Kurt Triplett, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council